Page 1 of 2

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

February 18, 2025 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CASSANDRA BENTON, § CIVIL ACTION NO
Plaintiff, § 4:24-cv-00113

vs. § JUDGE CHARLES ESKRIDGE

TEXAS DEPARTMENT §
OF CRIMINAL §
JUSTICE, §
Defendant. §

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff proceeds *pro se* and filed a complaint naming Defendant Texas Department of Criminal Justice on January 10, 2024. Dkt 1. The matter was referred for pretrial management to Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan. Dkt 4.

Pending is a Memorandum and Recommendation by Judge Bryan recommending that this case be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim because (i) TDCJ isn't a "person" for purposes of a claim for damages under 28 USC §1983, (ii) Plaintiff pleads negligence only, but a claim under 1983 requires intent, and (iii) Plaintiff doesn't allege a claim for deprivation of a recognized life, liberty, or property interest. Dkt 15.

The district court reviews *de novo* those conclusions of a magistrate judge to which a party has specifically objected. See FRCP 72(b)(3) & 28 USC § 636(b)(1)(C); see also *United States v Wilson*, 864 F2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir 1989, *per curiam*). The district court may accept any other portions to which there's no objection if satisfied that no

No party filed objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation. No clear error appears upon review and consideration of the Memorandum and Recommendation, the record, and the applicable law.

The Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the Memorandum and Order of this Court. Dkt 15.

This action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. This is a FINAL JUDGMENT.

SO ORDERED.

Signed on February 18, 2025, at Houston, Texas.

United States District Judge

Hon. Charles Eskridge